

8518

145

217

879

9101

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA
ABSTRACT.

Anti-Corruption measures - Surprise checks etc. Arrangements ordered -

HOME (F) DEPARTMENT.

G.O.(MS) No. 337/66/Home. Dated, Trivandrum, 14-9-1966.

Read:- Letter No. CC(D) 16282/66-1 dated 23-7-1966 from the Director of Vigilance Investigation.

O R D E R

In the course of the intelligence work done by the Vigilance Division to spot out major areas of corruption instances may arise in which a surprise check may be decisive in establishing or disproving the facts relating to certain suspected cases. The allegations in such cases, if true may amount to offences under the Prevention of Corruption Act, in which case the Vigilance Division is competent to initiate action independently. But it is desirable that until the facts are established to a reasonable degree of definiteness, action by the Vigilance Division is taken through and in co-ordination with the superior authorities of the concerned Departments. Accordingly the Government prescribe the following procedure for conduct of surprise checks:

- (1) Where information is received about a suspected case of corruption in which there is no individual to come forward and furnish details sufficient to register a case, but there may be scope to establish the truth by a surprise check, an officer of the Vigilance Division may meet an appropriate higher authority of the Department concerned and furnish him with the relevant details, and the type of surprise check which is recommended in the case:-
- (2) Ordinarily if the request is made to the Head of Department the officer of the Vigilance Division will deliver a letter from the Director of Vigilance Investigation containing the above mentioned facts. A similar letter from the Superintendent of Police will be delivered in the case of other Departmental Officers. Where in emergent cases action is required before the letter can be obtained from the Director or the Superintendent of Police the request will be made in person by an Officer not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police who will also hand over a letter containing all the particulars mentioned above.

(3) The authority who is approached thus shall, either immediately conduct the surprise check as requested by the Vigilance Division, or give a reply in writing to the Officer of the Vigilance Division who meets him stating the grounds why it is not conducted in the manner requested. The Officer of the Vigilance Division should also be present during the arrangements made for the surprise check and the actual check.

2. All the Heads of Departments and offices are requested to take steps to insure the effective implementation of the above procedure when an ~~off~~ occasion arises.

(By order of the Governor)

SD/- R. NARAYANAN,
D E P U T Y S E C R E T A R Y.

Endt. on L.D's. (B2) 31011/66 dated 21-11-1966.

Copy forwarded to all subordinate offices for information.

Copy to all officers in office, Vigilance Officer, P.C. to Chief Conservator of Forests, B3 Section and B2 Section.

Chief Conservator's
Office, Trivandrum.

SD/-
For Chief Conservator of Forests.

Endt. on G1 (L.D's.) 20288/66 dated 16-12-1966.

Copy to Stock file and Circular file.

Copy for circulation.

Copy to Conservator of Forests and Senior Superintendent.

Narayanan
For Conservator of Forests.

KKV/20/12/-

✓